Sample Order Authorizing the Acquisition of Computer [Hardware and/or Software] Under the CJA

(**Note:** Footnotes explain options or provide suggestions to the presiding judicial officer.)

		STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF	
United States of	America		
V.		No	
Defendant #1 Defendant #2 Defendant #3 Defendant #4		ORDER AUTHORIZING ACQUISITIO OF [HARDWARE AND/OR SOFTWAR UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE AC	E]

The above-named defendants,¹ having been found to be eligible for services under the Criminal Justice Act (CJA), <u>18 U.S.C.</u> § <u>3006A</u>, have submitted an *ex parte*² application for the approval of CJA funds to purchase computer [hardware and/or software³], as authorized by subsection (e) of the CJA.

¹In most cases, counsel for one defendant is likely to make application on behalf of all co-defendants. Courts should encourage cooperation among defendants in multi-defendant cases and urge them to agree on needs before application is made.

²In accordance with <u>subsection (e) of the CJA</u>, the *Guide to Judiciary Policies and Procedures* (Guide), Volume 7A, Chapter 3, § 310.30, anticipates an *ex parte* application for "services other than counsel" and instructs that applications "must be heard *in camera*" and are not to be revealed without the consent of the defendant.

³Hardware includes computers, laptops, CD drives, printers, scanners, memory boards or related tangible items. Software includes operating and application programs.

The Court finds, after inquiry and counsel's consultation with the Office of Defender Services of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts (AO),⁴ that the [hardware and/or software] detailed in items [1 through . . .] is [are] necessary for an adequate defense and constitute unusual or extraordinary expenses.⁵

The Court, therefore, approves the acquisition of the following items:

- [1.]
- [2.]
- [3.]
- [4.]

in the amounts listed for each item and a total expenditure not to exceed [the sum of all items approved⁶].

⁴In all cases, applicants must consult with the Office of Defender Services, 202-502-3030, **before** submitting an application for funds to the Court. The Office of Defender Services will provide technical advice to counsel to ensure the items requested are necessary, appropriate, and compatible with systems in use within the federal defender system. Counsel is required to include, in writing, the advice and recommendation of the Office of Defender Services in the application to the Court. **See:** Guide, Volume 7A, § 320.70. The presiding judicial officer or the clerk also may wish to seek advice from the Office of Defender Services.

⁵Under Guide, Volume 7A, § 320.70, approval for "unusual or extraordinary expenses" is authorized when "the circumstances from which the need arose would normally result in an additional charge to a fee-paying client over and above that charged for overhead expenses." The Court has discretion to determine when that condition is met. Circumstances of extraordinary expense may include, but are not limited to: massive documentary discovery; numerous hours of wiretap tapes; complex financial transactions; and national security concerns requiring disclosure, but no copying, of discovery. In all cases, the decision to approve expenses for hardware or software is a matter for the presiding judge (and if above the case compensation maximum, for the chief judge of the court of appeals, or designee of the chief judge).

⁶The Court may wish to authorize acquisition of each specific item and a total cost ceiling, but allow the Office of Defender Services or the designated purchaser some leeway to negotiate prices for individual items.

It is further ordered that the federal defender organization for the District of [______], as designated by the Office of Defender Services, must acquire the approved items utilizing the CJA appropriation, in conformance with the Guide, Volume 7A, Chapter 3, § 320.70.

Because this [hardware and/or software] is [are] for the use of counsel appointed under the CJA and is being purchased with United States government funds, it is further ordered that the approved items are and will remain the property of the United States. The item[s] is [are] to be used only in the course of the representation of the abovenamed defendant[s]. Counsel must use due diligence and care to maintain the property in good condition.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, within 30 days after final judgment is entered as to a defendant, appointed counsel for that defendant is directed to return all items acquired under authorization of this Order to a federal defender organization designated by the Office of Defender Services, for assignment by the Office of Defender Services for any other appropriate use under the CJA.

Counsel for the defendant[s] is [are] further instructed to remove and delete all case-related data and software from any hardware before delivering the equipment to the federal defender organization.⁸ Software should be returned with all original disks and manuals. Counsel should retain copies, electronic or otherwise, of the deleted information for the client's file.⁹

⁷After the Court issues this order, a federal defender organization (FDO) designated by the Office of Defender Services, or the Office of Defender Services, will purchase the approved items in accordance with judiciary procurement procedures and charge the costs to the CJA panel attorney line of the appropriation. Payment will be made through the CJA Panel Attorney Payment System by means of a <u>Form CJA 21</u> or <u>CJA 31</u> (to which this order should be attached), which has been approved by the presiding judge (and if above the case compensation maximum, by the chief judge of the court of appeals, or designee of the chief judge). The FDO or the Office of Defender Services will also maintain the tangible items on its inventory of property, noting the items are on loan to appointed counsel.

⁸Returning equipment cleaned of data and software will minimize risk of inadvertent disclosure of information protected by work product or attorney-client privilege.

⁹While it is preferable that counsel retain copies of the deleted information in the client files, there may be some cases where it is impossible or prohibited by law. For example, the retention of discovery that implicates a national security concern may be barred by federal law.